



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,977	09/29/2000	Frank P. Hart	042390.P9730	1730	
75	90 07/02/2004		EXAMI	NER	
John Patrick Ward, Esq.			DU, THUAN N		
BLAKELY, SO Seventh Floor	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ZAFMAN LLP	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			2116	····	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	11	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	09/675,977	HART ET AL.	W
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Thuan N. Du	2116	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	**
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tte, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15,	April 2004.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		atters, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10-14,24-27 and 30</u> is/are potential of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 1-3,5-8,10-14,24-27 and 30 is/are reference 1-3,5-8,10-14,24-27 and 30 is/are potential of the above claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10-14,24-27 and 30 is/are potential of the above claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10-14,24-27 and 30 is/are potential of the above claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10-14,24-27 and 30 is/are potential of the above claim(s) 1-3,5-8,10-14,24-27 and 30 is/are reference 1-3,5-8,10	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		-	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/675,977

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Amendment B (dated 4/8/04).
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-14, 24-27 and 30 are presented for examination.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. The rejections are respectfully maintained and reproduced infra for applicants' convenience.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-14, 24-27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Panas et al. [Panas] (U.S. Patent No. 6,473,857) and Croll (U.S. Patent No. -5,367,688).
- 6. Regarding claims 1, 8, 10-11 and 12, Panas teaches a method for booting a subsystem (IOP) comprising the steps of:

retrieving a subsystem boot indicator [col.19, lines 2-8]; and

transferring information to the subsystem based on the subsystem boot indicator [col. 19, lines 11-37].

Panas does not explicitly teach the transferring the information to the subsystem is performed without involvement of a main operating system.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,977

Art Unit: 2116

Croll teaches a system for booting a subsystem comprising the step of transferring boot image from the host system to the subsystem without involvement of the host operating system [col. 6, line 1-7].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Panas and Croll because they both teach system for booting up a subsystem. Croll's teaching of transferring the boot information to the subsystem without involvement of the host operating system would increase the flexibility of Panas' system by allowing the host OS to be free to respond other requests from other subsystems.

- 7. Regarding claims 2 and 14, Panas teaches the subsystem boot indicator is located in a non-volatile storage device (CMA) [col. 19, lines 3-5].
- 8. Regarding claim 3, Panas teaches the non-volatile storage device is located within the subsystem [col. 19, line 3-5].
- 9. Regarding claims 5 and 6, it is the matter of design choice to use a bus or a communication link for transferring the information to the subsystem having a bus width or bandwidth less than that of the main system
- 10. Regarding claims 7 and 13, Panas teaches the information transferred to the subsystem is transferred to a memory accessible by the subsystem [col. 19, lines 26-34, 46-48].
- 11. Regarding claims 24-27 and 30, Panas and Croll together teach the claimed method steps.

 Therefore, Panas and Croll together teach the apparatus to implement the claimed method steps.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,977 Page 4

Art Unit: 2116

Response to Argument

12. Applicants' arguments filed 4/8/2004 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

13. In the Remarks, applicants argued in substance that Croll does not teach transferring boot image from the host system to the *subsystem*. Therefore, Croll fails to teach the claimed limitation of transferring the information to the subsystem is performed without involvement of a main system operating system.

14. A subsystem, by definition, is a system that is part of some larger system. A node is a system that is part of the whole network system. Therefore, the node, disclosed by Croll, is the claimed subsystem. As the result, Croll teaches the claimed limitation of transferring the information to the subsystem is performed without involvement of a main system operating system [col. 1, lines 65-68; col. 6, lines 1-7].

Conclusion

15. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/675,977

Art Unit: 2116

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (703) 308-6292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

The fax number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

LYMNE H. BROWNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3800 200

Page 5

Thuan N. Du June 28, 2004